As separate attachments, you will find the course materials. Do not panic from the amount of class materials. Because you are not paying for the materials I thought I would give you “more” rather than “less” so you will have a nice reference library going forward. If you choose to print out the cases, you need print only what is assigned. You need not print out anything for the first tape.

The tapes reflect a summer school course taught four nights a week for two weeks. The class ran from 6pm to 9:15 pm.

A case not in the materials but separately attached is Mashantucket Pequods v. Ledyard. At the time the course was taught, we had only the district court opinion. That is the one discussed in the tapes. After the class was recorded the appellate opinion came down. You might want to read the appellate opinion after the class discussion of the district court opinion and also read Ed Lowe, MASHANTUCKET PEQUOT TRIBE V. TOWN OF LEDYARD: THE PREEMPTION OF STATE TAXES UNDER BRACKER, THE INDIAN TRADER STATUTES, AND THE INDIAN GAMING REGULATORY ACT, 47 Connecticut Law Review, 197 (2014).

The subject matter of the course is intellectually fascinating, and is more constitutional law, history, and Indian law than a traditional tax course. The area has been exploding as tribes have pursued a panoply of activities, involving more and more non-Indians. There is a desperate need for lawyers who can service the tribes and those doing business with them, and many firms are expanding their Indian law practice groups. There are only two law schools in the country that offer this course so you should be well positioned if you want to practice in this area. If you are interested, check with Clara Solomon in the Office of Career Services. She will direct you to many postings for openings in the Indian Law field.

I do not assume that you will have a background in any of the issues we talk about and make no assumptions about what you might actually know about Indian law. You will be amazed at how much you will add to your intellectual capital in just 8 class sessions.
I do not monitor the NYU e mail system. You can always reach me at rickpomp@outlook.com. I will be delighted to receive your substantive questions.

Any non-substantive questions about the tapes should be sent to John Stephens, tel. 212 998 6394. John.stephens@nyu.edu.

What follows is the order in which we will take up the cases during the first four tapes. The tapes will indicate if there were any tweaks to the syllabus. Please refer to the syllabus for the Questions that follow each of the assigned cases.

**First Tape**

Cherokee Nation Georgia
Worcester v. Georgia
Utah & Northern
Thomas v. Gay

**Second Tape**

Williams v. Lee
Warren Trading
Central Machinery

**Third Tape**

McClanahan
Mescalero
Moe
Colville

**Fourth Tape**

Citizen Band Potawatomi
The Fourth Tape will indicate the order of the cases for Tapes Five through Eight.

There will be a take home exam that can be taken any time during the examination period. The registrar will distribute detailed instructions later in the semester. There is no time limit on the exam, but there is a word limit on each answer. You must return it by the end of the examination period. The Law School Office of Records and Registration will provide general exam information. Other than drawing up the exam and grading it, I have no role in the administration of the exam. All questions should be directed to the registrar.

I have no power other than dealing with the substantive aspects of the class. NYU has a very large and able administrative staff. I am sure you are already familiar with two of the best: John Stephens and Professor Blank.

My very capable administrative assistant is Gregory Zwahlen, Tel 212-998-6152. zwahleng@mercury.law.nyu.edu.

Good luck and enjoy.